

**PCC BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE (PBAC)
Minutes of Pre-Bid Conference**

Date: 16 October 2019
25/F Tower 1, Vertis North Corporate Center, North Avenue, Quezon City

Present:

BAC Members

- | | | |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| 1. Chairperson | : | Atty. Joseph Melvin B. Basas |
| 2. Regular Member | : | Allan Roy D. Mordeno |
| 3. Provisional Member | : | Arnold Roy Tenorio D. Tenorio |

BAC Secretariat

- | | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------------|
| 1. Head | : | Jeson Q. de la Torre |
| 2. Vice-Head | : | Ma. Celeste S. Dizon |
| 3. Member | : | Melody O. Dizon |
| 4. Member | : | July R. Suing |
| 5. Member | : | Merry Lyn V. Bambao |
| 6. Member | : | Mark Jayson R. Fajutnao |
| 7. Member | : | Nicky Jonna R. Pitallano |
| 8. Member | : | Isabelo Miguel V. Abaño |

Technical Working Group

- | | | |
|-----------|---|-----------------|
| 1. Member | : | Alvin U. Bosque |
|-----------|---|-----------------|

End-User Representative

- | | | |
|---------|---|--------------------|
| 2. ICTD | : | Warren Paul Nicdao |
|---------|---|--------------------|

Bidders

1. SGV & Co. – Raphael Jeremiah Luy
2. R.G. Manabat – Audrey Lozare
3. R.G. Manabat – Thor Cada

I. Call to Order

The PBAC Chairperson called the meeting to order at 1:20 PM for the **Pre-bid Conference of Consultancy Services for the Conduct of Vulnerability Assessment and Penetration Testing for the Philippine Competition Commission** under **Invitation to Bid No. 201809-0191**, at the Meeting Room 3 of the above address.

II. Agenda

Discussion	Recommendations/ Agreements
<p>A. Presentation (Purpose, Documentation, Timelines, Common Mistakes, etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mr. Suing of PBAC Secretariat, presented/discussed the purpose, documentary requirements, timelines of the project, and common mistakes and causes of failure of bidding. 	

Discussion	Recommendation/ Agreements
<p>B. Project Description and Technical Requirements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As provided for in Annex A of ISO 27001:2013, A.12.6 Technical vulnerability management – requires that information about technical vulnerabilities of information systems being used shall be obtained in a timely fashion, the exposure to such vulnerabilities evaluated and associated risks shall be appropriately addressed. With the foregoing requirements, there is a need to hire a third-party vulnerability assessment and penetration testing (VAPT) service provider to conduct vulnerability testing to PCC IT systems. <p>C. Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The ABC for this project is PhP2,000,000.00 inclusive of all applicable taxes. <p>D. Date/ Time of Posting, Submission and Opening</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Posting: 12 October 2019 Submission: 28 October 2019, 12:00 NN Opening: 30 October 2019, 1:00 PM <p>E. Question and Answer</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Representatives of the two (2) shortlisted bidders were present during the pre-bid conference, namely: R.G. Manabat and SGV. The following questions/concerns were raised during the meeting: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Mr. Thor Cada, one of the representatives from R.G. Manabat, verified whether the submission of Secretary's Certificate is still required as supporting document of the Omnibus Sworn Statement, if said document was already submitted as part of the eligibility documents. Mr. Suing responded that the Secretary's Certificate is part of the new set of requirements, thus, required to be included. Mr. Raphael Jeremiah Luy of SGV & Co., queried on Section III.3 of the Terms of Reference (TOR), as to the estimate number of devices and equipment to be enrolled, for the installation of Open Source Security Information (OSSIM). Mr. Alvin Bosque, end-user representative/ Technical Working Group (TWG) replied that at least ten (10) devices will be integrated. Mr. Luy raised the question on Section III.2.a of the TOR or the general requirements in conducting the vulnerability and penetration testing to website. Likewise asked on the estimate number of websites covered and according to Mr. Bosque, only one (1) website. 	<p>All questions were answered adequately, thus, no bid bulletin will be issued.</p>

Discussion	Recommendation/ Agreements
<p>4. Mr. Luy also verified the names of applications for the conduct of vulnerability and penetration testing. Per Mr. Bosque, names of applications will be provided by PCC once project is awarded to the winning bidder.</p> <p>5. Mr. Cada queried on the number of sites for the network wireless testing. Mr. Bosque replied that only one (1) site is involved.</p> <p>6. Ms. Audrey Lozare, a representative from R.G.Manabat, queried if PCC will require a copy or installation of software in the conduct of vulnerability assessment and penetration testing (VA/PT). Mr. Bosque responded that the winning bidder should have their own software for the conduct of the VA/PT and is not intended for the PCC to own it.</p> <p>7. Mr. Luy, queried if there is a limit on the number of tools to be used and if open source is allowed in the conduct of VA/PT. According to Mr. Bosque, there is no limit on how many tools will be used.</p> <p>8. Ms. Lozare, asked if PCC will prefer a black box or gray box approach for the testing. Mr. Bosque responded that gray box is preferred.</p> <p>9. As regards the OSSIM, Mr. Luy asked whether PCC will provide hardware for the installation of the software. Mr. Bosque responded that PCC is using a highly virtualized environment and a virtual machine will be provided.</p> <p>10. Mr. Luy verified the meaning of in-house training, i.e., if winning bidder will be the one to conduct the training. Mr. Bosque replied that winning bidder will conduct the training within PCC premises.</p> <p>11. Mr. Luy asked another query as to the preference of PCC on cyber security certification courses. Mr. Bosque responded that PCC prefers relevant courses to VA/PT.</p> <p>12. Ms. Lozera, likewise, verified if training that will be provided is hands-on training or workshop. According to Mr. Bosque, it is a combination of training and workshop. Mr. Mordeno added that, the importance is the transfer of knowledge for the ICTD personnel to learn also the process, tools and technologies that is being used. If proprietary license will be used, a trial period should be done.</p> <p>13. Mr. Suing, clarified if there is any equipment that will be returned to PCC after the conduct of VA/PT. According to Mr. Bosque, there is no equipment needed to be turn over.</p> <p>14. Mr. Bosque emphasized that for any information, data, meta data and documents that will be used during the conduct of VA/PT after finalization of report. All relevant documented information must be</p>	

Discussion	Recommendation/ Agreements
deleted in the system of the winning bidder and for printed copies, should be returned to PCC.	

III. Adjournment:

There being no other matters to discuss, the meeting for the pre-bid conference was adjourned at 1:46 PM.

Prepared by the PBAC Secretariat:

Approved by:

(Original Signed)
JESON Q. DE LA TORRE
Head, PBAC Secretariat

(Original Signed)
ATTY. JOSEPH MELVIN B. BASAS
PBAC Chairperson